# SMEs' Absorptive Capacities and Large Firms' Knowledge Spillovers: Micro Evidence from the Machining Industry in Mexico

Claudia de Fuentes\* Sobey School of Business Saint Mary's University, Nova Scotia Email: claudia.defuentes@smu.ca Gabriela Dutrénit Postgraduate Program in Economic and Management of Innovation Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Xochimilco Mexico City Email: gabrieladutrenit@gmail.com

*Abstract*: The aim of this paper is to analyse the relationship between large firms' knowledge spillovers and small and medium enterprises' absorptive capacities. We build ad hoc indicators for these two concepts following a factor analysis methodology, and carry out a structural equations analysis to determine the relationship between them. Based on firm level data from a survey that focuses on SMEs of the machining industry in a Mexican locality, this paper argues that in a low-tech and mature sector, which operates in a loosely articulated local system, two knowledge spillover mechanisms are relevant – the backward linkages and the employees' mobility. SMEs' absorptive capacities are strongly influenced by organisational capabilities and innovation and learning activities. We also argue that large firms' knowledge spillovers are strongly correlated with SMEs' absorptive capacities within the sector and locality analysed.

*Keywords:* diffusion processes, economic development, entrepreneurship, knowledge, Latin America, machinery, models, regional economic activity, SME, technological change

JEL classifications: L26, L64, O33, O54, R12

### 1. Introduction

There has been a growing interest regarding the analysis of knowledge spillovers within localities. Several studies from different bodies of literature have identified a set of factors that affect the scope of knowledge spillovers, reaching consensus that one of the most important factors is firms' absorptive capacities.

Even though there is a common agreement with regard to the positive and direct relationship between knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities, there are still gaps in identifying the nature of this relationship, the specific knowledge spillovers' mechanisms and the determinants of absorptive capacities.

There are important contributions from the organisational and cognitive literature about the identification of different spillover mechanisms, such as demonstration-imitation effects, backward linkages, direct technology transfer, training, human capital mobility, competence, and foreign linkages (Albaladejo, 2001; Chudnovsky *et al.*, 2003; Vera-Cruz and Dutrénit, 2005; Jordaan, 2005; Marin and Bell, 2006; Chudnovsky *et al.*, 2008; Nelson, 2009). Other studies that focus on localised knowledge flows and the effects of foreign direct investment (FDI) have analysed the importance of firms' absorptive capacities to appropriate knowledge spillovers. They emphasise the role of investment in R&D, knowledge, technological capabilities, embedded technology, and firms' innovation strategies as the main determinants of absorptive capacities (Alcácer and Chung, 2003; Chudnovsky *et al.*, 2003; Giuliani, 2003; Escribano *et al.*, 2005; Ivarsson and Göran, 2005; Vera-Cruz and Dutrénit, 2005; Marin and Bell, 2006; Chudnovsky *et al.*, 2008; Rasiah, 2008; Escribano *et al.*, 2009).

However, most of the works that have analysed the relationship between knowledge spillovers from FDI and local firms' absorptive capacities use proxy indicators either for knowledge spillovers or absorptive capacities. The use of such indicators is problematic, as they might not grasp the main characteristics of absorptive capacities, reaching contradictory results regarding the relationship between absorptive capacities and knowledge spillovers. Some of those studies conclude that technology sectors (Girma and Wakelin, 2000; Kinoshita, 2000; Girma, 2003; Marin and Bell, 2006), or the level of aggregation and geographic distance (Blomström and Kokko, 2003; Girma, 2003; Jordaan, 2005; Rasiah, 2008) play an important role in the scale and nature of knowledge spillovers and the associated benefits derived.

Most of those studies have analysed the effect of FDI in host countries, focusing on knowledge spillovers from MNCs to local firms. However, based on the empirical evidence from this paper, we observe knowledge spillovers from MNCs and large domestic companies to SMEs, and we did not find significant differences between MNCs' and large domestic companies' spillovers in the context analysed. Therefore we analyse large firms' knowledge spillover mechanisms without differentiating by property type.

Focusing on SMEs from the machining industry located in Querétaro, Mexico, the aim of this paper is twofold, first to discuss whether there is a positive and strong relationship between large firms' knowledge spillovers and SMEs' absorptive capacities. We also seek to disentangle the specificities of the relationship between large firms' knowledge spillovers and SMEs' absorptive capacities, and contribute to the analysis of the determinants of these two concepts. We argue that identifying accurate determinants of knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities would be useful for policy-makers seeking to design policy for stimulating the benefiting by firms from the large firms' knowledge spillovers.

This paper is based on micro data from a survey applied during 2005 to SMEs that belong to the machining industry in Querétaro. This is a traditional and low-tech industry dominated mostly by SMEs. These firms present a *hub-and-spoke*<sup>1</sup> type of arrangement with their clients, which are mostly medium-large domestic firms and MNCs, half of them from the automotive and home appliances sectors. Querétaro is geographically located in the centre of Mexico and is one of the most dynamic cities with important industrial activity. Its main industrial activities are metal mechanic, automotive, textile, chemistry, electric-electronic and food processing. These comprise 1.8 per cent of the Mexican GDP. Local infrastructure such as electric services, industrial parks and road systems has fostered the growing of different industries.

The machining industry in Querétaro reported sales of US\$49 million dollars and employed more than 3,000 people in 2005. The SMEs supply around 10 per cent of the total demand for machining products in the locality, most of them low-tech products. Their principal products are gears, arrows and dies (production and repairing).

This paper is divided into five sections, the next one presenting an analytical framework that refers to knowledge spillovers, absorptive capacities and the relationship between these two concepts. Section three describes the methodology for data gathering and information analysis. Section four presents and discusses the empirical evidence and the main analytical results. Section five contains the concluding remarks.

# 2. Conceptual Framework: The Importance of Absorptive Capacities

Several studies from organisational theory that have analysed the impact of FDI on host countries focus on spillovers from MNCs to local firms. These studies follow different methodologies using *proxy* indicators that relate FDI with local firms' productivity, arguing that productivity increases are directly related to MNCs' spillovers (Sjöholm, 1999; Chung, 2001; Blomström and Kokko, 2003). Nevertheless, the use of this type of indicator does not allow us to observe whether local firms' productivity increases are in fact due to MNCs spillovers or to other factors. Some other bodies of literature that focus on knowledge flows among agents within the same locality (Dutrénit and Vera-Cruz, 2003; Giuliani, 2003; Giuliani, 2005) usually emphasise the heterogeneity of firms, some using *ad hoc* indicators. These works stress the fact that knowledge flows

3

cannot be diffused homogenously to different firms in a locality, as local firms need a certain level of absorptive capacities to reap their benefits. We build on this second stream of literature and contribute to the identification of specific determinants for knowledge spillovers and absorptive capabilities and the relationship between these two concepts.

Escribano *et al.* (2005) define knowledge spillovers as involuntary knowledge flows that arise when part of the knowledge generated by an organisation spills over its boundaries and becomes available to other organisations. We adapt their concept to analyse large firms' knowledge spillovers – that can be either from national large firms or MNCs and different types of firms' performance – including productivity and other dimensions. Thus we define knowledge spillovers as "the organisational and technological benefits that local SMEs get from large firms' knowledge flows, which can be either intentional or unintentional, and increase SMEs' performance".

Knowledge spillovers can be horizontal (across sectors), or vertical (within the same sector). The amount and nature of vertical and horizontal spillover varies within sectors and regions, as found by Kinoshita, (2000); Girma *et al.* (2001); Girma, (2003); Jordaan, (2005); Kugler, (2006); Motohashi and Yuan, (2010).

There are several diffusion mechanisms of knowledge spillover. One major mechanism is *backward linkages* - this requires upgrading from local firms to use their resources more efficiently to remain competitive (Blalock and Gertler, 2004; Javorcik, 2004; Kugler, 2006). A second is human capital mobility - this means that MNCs have the ability to increase the human capital pool. Imbued with the technology, knowledge and organisational techniques, their employees become direct agents of technology transfer. This spillover mechanism can be observed through employees' mobility (Chudnovsky et al., 2003; Girma and Görg, 2005; Jordaan, 2005; Rasiah, 2007; Chudnovsky et al., 2008) and entrepreneurship by the creation of new firms (Görg and Greenaway, 2001; Vera-Cruz and Dutrénit, 2005). A third mechanism is training - MNCs sometimes promote the training of key employees of their suppliers, which increases local firms' technological and organisational capabilities (Kinoshita, 2000). Other forms of diffusion mechanisms are: demonstration-imitation (Kim, 1997; Liu and Buck, 2007); increased competence (Chung et al., 2003); foreign linkages (Gorg and Hijzen, 2004; Liu and Buck, 2007); and patents and R&D (Cabrer-Borrás and Serrano-Domingo, 2007; Liu and Buck, 2007; Kafouros and Buckley, 2008; Coe et al., 2009; O'Mahony and Vecchi, 2009; Motohashi and Yuan, 2010). Only some of these mechanisms have been measured empirically, providing important insights about the nature of knowledge spillovers in certain regions and sectors. The current study draws on these studies and focuses on an analysis of the first three mechanisms.

There are different factors that may affect the level of knowledge spillovers by local firms, such as technology level and geographical distance, but as we mentioned above, there is a strong consensus regarding the importance of firms' absorptive capacities for gaining the benefits from knowledge spillovers. Knowledge does not automatically spill over and result in increased innovativeness, competitiveness and growth. In fact it has been confirmed by several studies that the scope of spillovers may depend on the absolute level of local firms' absorptive capacities (Borensztein *et al.*, 1998; Durham, 2004; Liu and Buck, 2007). In this direction, several studies from different perspectives have contributed to an analysis of the relationship between knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities (Albaladejo, 2001; Chudnovsky *et al.*, 2003; Jordaan, 2005; Chudnovsky *et al.*, 2008; Escribano *et al.*, 2009), stressing the fact that local firms need a certain level of absorptive capacities to benefit from spillovers.

Absorptive capacities reflect firms' knowledge bases and are related to the individual performance of firms (Albaladejo, 2001; Giuliani, 2003; Giuliani, 2005). According to Cohen and Levinthal (1999: 128), absorptive capacities are the ability of firms to recognise the value of new information, assimilate it and apply it to commercial ends. Thus the identification of external knowledge sources and the assimilation and exploitation of knowledge is vital to increasing firms' competitive advantage. Firms with higher levels of absorptive capacity can identify and manage external knowledge flows and stimulate innovative outcomes more efficiently than otherwise. The current paper uses this definition of absorptive capacities.

One set of empirical works that analyses the importance of absorptive capacities to appropriating the benefits from spillovers correlates the technology gap between MNCs and local firms with the latter's absorptive capacities (Girma, 2003; Girma and Görg, 2005). In some cases, the results are vague, as some studies have shown that the larger the technology gap is, the higher the level of knowledge spillovers (Driffield, 2001; Castellani and Zanfei, 2003), while on the other hand, some studies have shown that firms are able to reap the benefit from spillovers only when the technological gap is moderate (Kokko, et al., 1996). Girma (2003) stresses that there must be a certain range of technology gap or cognitive distance between firms. Below the minimum level there are no technology spillovers as firms share about the same level of knowledge. In contrast, above the maximum level, the cognitive distance is far too large for firms to absorb higher levels of knowledge and again there are no spillovers. These results suggest that the use of the technology gap as an indicator of absorptive capacities is sometimes problematic, as it does not capture the main determinants that explain absorptive capacities at firm level. Thus, the analysis of the importance of absorptive capacities to appropriate the benefits of knowledge spillovers remains unclear in such studies.

Another set of empirical studies (Chudnovsky *et al.*, 2003; Escribano *et al.*, 2005; Marin and Bell, 2006; Chudnovsky *et al.*, 2008) has used other types of indicators that reflect more directly absorptive capacities, such as R&D expenditure, patents, human capital, scientific and technical training, and investment in equipment. These studies have usually found a positive and strong relationship between knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities. However, most of them measure knowledge spillovers by the impact of FDI on firms' productivity, which is a *proxy* indicator for knowledge spillover.

To analyse absorptive capacities, this study uses indicators proposed by other studies: human capital – measured by entrepreneurs and employees' background; technology embedded in equipment; and learning and innovation activities. It also incorporates other indicators – organisational capabilities and linkages with other local agents.

We aim to contribute to the empirical approach by building *ad hoc* indicators to analyse the main determinants of knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities, and by analysing the relationship between these two concepts in a particular sector and region.

Regarding the specificities of the relationship between knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities, we have conceptualised two sets of indicators (second order factors), one to analyse knowledge spillovers of large firms, and the other to analyse absorptive capacities of traditional and lowtech SMEs, where R&D activities are not common, and human capital is not specialised. To conceptualise spillover indicators, we focus on three spillover mechanisms - backward linkages, human capital mobility (employees' mobility and entrepreneurship), and training. With absorptive capacities we build an indicator that includes owner and employees' background and experience, technology embedded in equipment, organisational and innovative capabilities, and linkages with other local agents. This analysis aims to close the gap related to the most important mechanisms of knowledge spillovers and the most important determinants for absorptive capacities. These indicators provide the basis for analysing the relationship between knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities in the low-tech and mature sector of machine tools in the dynamic industrial locality of Querétaro, Mexico.

# 3. Methodology

This paper draws on primary data gathered from a survey applied during 2005 to the machining industry in Querétaro, Mexico. Of the 225 firms we identified, 179 responded to our questionnaire, representing 80 per cent of the machining industry in the locality. However, we only collected complete information for analysing 110 firms according to the aims of this paper.

The survey sought each firm's general information, characteristics of the entrepreneur, characteristics of the employees, machinery and equipment, innovative behaviour, organisational characteristics, linkages with clients, and linkages with other agents in the locality.

A previous version of this survey was applied to SMEs of the same industry in Ciudad Juarez, Mexico, a border city with the United States (Vera-Cruz and Dutrénit, 2005). Even though both localities can be compared using the surveys, this new version was modified in order to capture better the main characteristics of SMEs, and to build indicators of absorptive capacities and knowledge spillovers. Table 1 presents statistics that describe the main characteristics of the machining industry.

Main characteristic	Total
% of owners with a bachelor's degree	36.4%
% of entrepreneurs with experience in other organisations	90.9%
Years of experience on average	18.2
% of owners with experience in top management	4%
% of owners with experience in engineering	16.8%
% of owners with experience in quality control	21.7%
% of owners with experience in production	61.3%
Number of employees (total)	1,077
% of employees with engineer's degree	6.8%
Engineers per firm (including the owner)	0.9
Employees with experience in CNC per firm	0.6
Employees with experience in design per firm	2.1
Employees with experience in CAM per firm	0.2
Technology embedded in equipment	
Conventional equipment per firm	4.1
Numerical Control (NC) machinery per firm	0.4
Computer Numerical Control (CNC) machinery per firm	0.3
% of firms that use CAM	16%
Number of product innovations per firm	1.9
Number of process innovations per firm	1.3
Annual total sales (thousands USD)	\$14,420.00
Average sales per firm (thousands USD)	\$138.00

 Table 1: Main Characteristics of the Machining Industry Located in Querétaro

Source: Authors

Sample: 110 firms

Note: Product and process innovation are new to firms.

It can be seen that the machining industry in Querétaro is characterised by low-tech, where most firms enjoy basic capabilities to supply low-tech products

7

to their clients. This industry requires technicians and engineers with production, design and computational skills, but much of the expertise has been developed through learning by doing rather than through formal education. This type of knowledge acquisition can be represented mainly as a form of tacit knowledge acquisition by learning-by-doing (Nonaka and Takeuchi, 1996). However, their schemes for knowledge acquisition have not provided the basis to keep building on that knowledge to reach higher levels necessary to produce more complex products and increase market shares.

To analyse the relationship between large firms' knowledge spillovers and SMEs' absorptive capabilities we perform a multivariate analysis by principal factors to build two indicators, one for SMEs' absorptive capacities and the other for large firms' knowledge spillovers. Then we build a structural equation model to identify the relationship between these two variables.

### Multivariate Analysis to Obtain Indicators of Absorptive Capacities

We suggest that SMEs' absorptive capacities can be analysed using a set of indicators related to the entrepreneur and employees' background, technology embedded in equipment, organisational capabilities, learning and innovation activities, and linkages with other local agents. To build the indicator of absorptive capacities (second order factor), first we need to build the indicators associated with each one of its components (first order factors).

- (i) Entrepreneur and employees' background: Most of the studies that have analysed absorptive capacities emphasise the importance of human resources, and analyse education and experience as one of the most important indicators for absorptive capacities (Marin and Bell, 2006; Escribano et al., 2009). To build this indicator we analysed variables related to formal education and previous experience of owners and employees. Most employees in the sector have a technician's degree (35 per cent) or have gained their experience empirically (13 per cent). Only 4 per cent of them have an engineer's degree.
- (ii) Technology embedded in equipment: Marin and Bell (2006) analysed this variable as an important indicator of absorptive capacities, arguing that machinery and equipment is highly correlated with the production of complex products, requiring employees to develop higher levels of expertise, which represent higher levels of absorptive capacity. To build this indicator we analysed variables related to the type of equipment and the years that firms have been using that particular equipment. As we can see from Table 1, most of the firms have conventional equipment,

while a small number of firms have numerical control (NC) or computer numerical control (CNC), which is necessary to produce more complex products.

- (iii) Organisational capabilities: Within the sector and locality analysed, we observed that organisational capabilities represent a key element for SMEs' competitiveness, thus we incorporate some variables to analyse organisational capabilities such as quality control management, and management and decision making techniques. However, only 4 per cent of the owners in the sector have previous experience in management, and 21 per cent of them have experience in quality control.
- (iv) Learning and innovation activities: R&D and innovation activities are one of the preferred indicators for absorptive capacities (Cohen and Levinthal, 1999). However, within a mature and low-tech sector, where R&D is not very common, we decided to consider other variables related to learning mechanisms and innovative activities, such as projects with clients and suppliers, process documentation, training programs, and product and process innovation that the firm has conveyed within a three year period.
- (v) Linkages with other local agents: These linkages represent an important source for raising SMEs' absorptive capacities. We included in our analysis linkages with firms, technical institutions and industrial associations.

The following set of equations expresses the indicators for SMEs' absorptive capacities.

$$\begin{split} F_{1EEE} &= \gamma_{11} X_{AC1} + \epsilon_1 \\ F_{2TEE} &= \gamma_{12} X_{AC2} + \epsilon_2 \\ F_{3OC} &= \gamma_{13} X_{AC3} + \epsilon_3 \\ F_{4LIA} &= \gamma_{14} X_{AC4} + \epsilon_4 \\ F_{5L} &= \gamma_{15} X_{AC5} + \epsilon_5 \end{split}$$

where:

F<sub>1EEE</sub> is the indicator for entrepreneur and employees' experience;

 $F_{2TEE}$  is the indicator for technology embedded in equipment;

 $F_{30C}$  is the indicator for organisational capabilities;

 $F_{4LIA}$  is the indicator for learning and innovation activities;

 $F_{51}$  is the indicator for linkages with other local agents;

 $X_{AC1...5}^{L}$  is a vector of explanatory variables for each one of indicators of absorptive capacities.

Table 2 lists each one of the variables that we used to build the five indicators of SMEs' absorptive capacities.

First order factor	Variable	Kind of variable	Missing values	Mean	SD
	Entrepreneur's degree	Ordinal	8	-	-
, ses	No. of employees	Numeric	0	11.13	22.43
loye	No. of engineers	Numeric	1	0.72	1.57
emp ind	% of engineers	Numeric	0	0.10	0.23
neur and en background	Employees with experience in CNC	Numeric	0	2.19	5.41
heur back	Employees with experience in design	Numeric	0	11.77	16.71
Entrepreneur and employees' background	Employees with experience in Computer Aided Manufacturing (CAM)	Numeric	0	1.20	6.56
En	Employees with experience in measurement	Numeric	0	15.11	31.05
	Employees with experience in quality control	Numeric	0	3.82	17.00
> F	CAM programming	Dummy	31	-	-
Technology embedded in equipment	No. NC and CNC equipment	Numeric	0	0.71	1.66
echn nbed squip	Years of NC and CNC equipment	Numeric	0	1.61	3.23
L a	Tolerance for products	Ordinal	2	-	-
	Years in the market	Numeric	11	11.11	9.21
lities	Past experience with decision-making processes	Dummy	0	-	-
Organisational capabilities	Technical knowledge for decision-making processes	Dummy	0	-	-
nal c	Formal contracts with clients	Dummy	1	-	-
atio	Sales per employee	Numeric	0	3.01	2.01
ganis	Quality certification	Dummy	0	-	-
Org	Materials quality certificates	Ordinal	4	-	-
	Time delivery certificates	Ordinal	3	-	-
es	Projects with suppliers	Dummy	0	-	-
liviti	Projects with clients	Dummy	0	-	-
n act	Process documentation	Dummy	0	-	-
'atio	Acquisition of machinery and equipment	Dummy	3	-	-
vouu	Documentation of changes in process	Dummy	3	-	-
i pu	Training programs to develop new products	Dummy	6	-	-
ng a	New marketing programs	Dummy	7	-	-
Learning and innovation activities	Product innovation	Numeric	14	1.59	5.85
Le	Process innovation	Numeric	16	1.10	4.45

Table 2: Variables Associated with the Indicators for SMEs' Absorptive Capacities

First order factor	Variable	Kind of variable	Missing values	Mean	SD
er	Importance of linkages with suppliers	Ordinal	0	-	-
t oth	Importance of linkages with clients	Ordinal	0	-	-
with agen	Importance of linkages with competitors	Ordinal	0	-	-
Linkages with other local agents	Importance of linkages with technical organisations	Ordinal	0	-	-
Lin	Importance of linkages with industrial associations	Ordinal	0	-	-

Table 2 (continued)

Source: Authors

#### Multivariate Analysis to Obtain Indicators of Knowledge Spillovers

We analyse large firms' knowledge spillovers in a broad sense. Included are those from subsidiaries of MNCs and from medium and large firms owned by domestic capital. We consider that knowledge spillovers (second order factor) can be analysed by three main sets of indicators related to specific mechanisms (first order factors), which are derived from different variables in the survey. Thus, first we built indicators associated with the types of knowledge spillover mechanisms and then built the indicator of knowledge spillovers. We focus on knowledge spillovers that are diffused by three main spillover mechanisms:

- Backward linkages: This type of spillover is mainly observed by direct (i) technology support and by the need of local firms to use their resources more efficiently to meet their clients' requirements (Lall, 1980; Jordaan, 2005). We suggest that in the sector and locality analysed this type of knowledge spillover is particularly important for the type of vertical integration between SMEs and their clients. We analyse variables such as the type of knowledge and information that firms get from their clients and if those linkages are formal or informal. In general terms, SMEs have an average relationship of 6 years with their clients. They usually do not establish formal contracts, which can represent a barrier for their investment projects. The most common types of interaction are access to clients' installations, joint projects to increase product quality, and transfer of design and production capabilities.
- (ii) Human capital accumulation and mobility: According to Blomström and Kokko (2003) and Görg and Greenaway (2001), this is one of the most important knowledge spillover mechanisms. Rasiah (1994, 2002) provides empirical evidence about the importance of human accumulation and mobility as a mechanism of knowledge spillover. We analyse the mobility of employees to SMEs and also the role of entrepreneurship

(i.e. the creation of new firms by large firms' former employees). We expect that entrepreneurship plays an important role as a mechanism of knowledge spillover in the sector analysed, as 91 per cent of entrepreneurs have had experience in other organisations (mainly large firms) for 18 years on average. Regarding employees' mobility, almost 39 per cent of the employees have had experience in large firms. Their experience has been mainly in production, quality control and maintenance. Only 16 per cent of them have engineering experience and 4 per cent managerial experience.

(iii) Training: Kinoshita (2000) has emphasised the role of backward linkages to promote the training of key employees of supplier firms. The main purpose of training is to increase their abilities to meet clients' demands. We analysed the number of employees that have been trained by their clients, the importance of training, and previous experience of employees in other firms. We argue that this is an important spillover mechanism, as employees get more involved with the techniques and requirements from their clients and several MNCs have either formal or informal training programmes for their clients. We observed that large firms have trained 4 per cent of SMEs' employees.

To build the indicator of knowledge spillovers, first we construct four indicators (first order factors) associated with three mechanisms of knowledge spillover: i) for backward linkages we built two indicators, one for formalisation of linkages with clients and the other for type of linkages established with clients); ii) human capital accumulation and mobility (for owners); and iii) human capital accumulation and mobility and training (for employees). The following set of equations expresses the indicators for large firms' knowledge spillovers.

$$\begin{split} F_{1FL} &= \beta_{13} X_{KS3} + \epsilon_3 \\ F_{2TL} &= \beta_{14} X_{KS4} + \epsilon_4 \\ F_{3OM} &= \beta_{11} X_{KS1} + \epsilon_1 \\ F_{4EM} &= \beta_{12} X_{KS2} + \epsilon_2 \end{split}$$

where:

 $F_{IFL}$  is the indicator of formalisation of linkages with clients;

 $F_{2TL}^{TL}$  is the indicator of the type of linkages with clients;

 $F_{3OM}$  is the indicator of human capital accumulation and mobility (owners);

 $F_{4EM}^{-1}$  is the indicator of human capital accumulation and mobility and training (employees);

 $X_{KS1...4}$  is a vector of explanatory variables for each one of the indicators of knowledge spillovers.

Table 3 presents the variables that were used to build these four factors.

First order factor	Variable	Kind of variable	Miss- ing values	Mean	SD
ŝ	Years of experience	Numeric	6	17.04	11.54
Entrepreneur's mobility	Experience in large firms	Dummy	10	-	-
ntrep mol	Experience in management	Dummy	5	-	-
<u>ш</u>	No. of training courses in large firms	Numeric	0	1.36	1.82
nobil- ing	Number of SME's employees trained by large firms	Numeric	0	1.33	12.89
Employees' mobil- ity and training	Importance of training by large firms	Ordinal	0	-	-
Empl ity :	No. of employees with experience in large firms	Numeric	11	3.65	12.50
iges ts	Years of client-supplier relationship	Numeric	9	7.49	7.95
Formal linkages with clients	Formal contracts	Dummy	1	-	-
Form wi	Informal relationships	Dummy	0	-	-
s	Calibration of equipment	Dummy	0	-	-
ent	Product certification	Dummy	0	-	-
ı cli	Sharing design capacities	Dummy	0	-	-
witł	Sharing production capacities	Dummy	0	-	-
fed	Supporting the incorporation of technologies	Dummy	0	-	-
list	Recommendations related to the lay out	Dummy	0	-	-
stab	Sharing machinery and equipment	Dummy	0	-	-
es es	Letting SMEs access large firms' plants	Dummy	0	-	-
(ag	Technical advice		0	-	-
luil	Joint projects	Dummy	0	-	-
e of	Sharing knowledge to export	Dummy	0	-	-
Type of linkages established with clients	Geographic proximity	Dummy	0	-	-
	Other recommendations	Dummy	0	-	-

Table 3: Variables Employed to Build Indicators of Large Firms' Knowledge Spillovers

Source: Authors

# Structural Equations Analysis to Identify the Relationship between Knowledge Spillovers and Absorptive Capacities

During the second stage of the analysis we build a structural equation model with causal modelling to establish the relationship between absorptive capacities and knowledge spillovers. Using the technique of causal modelling it is possible to incorporate both first and second order factors and identify the most important determinants of knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities and the relationship between them. However, due to data size restrictions, we divided the construction of the model into two stages. The results from the structural equation model provide information to flesh out the most important knowledge spillover mechanisms, and the most important determinants of absorptive capacities. We will also identify the determinants of the relationship between knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities. Figure 1 presents the structural equation model to identify the relationship between absorptive capacities and knowledge spillovers and the importance of each indicator of knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities.





Source: Authors

The following equation expresses the structural equation model to establish the relationship between absorptive capacities and knowledge spillovers.

$$F_{KS} = \alpha_1 F_{AC} + \epsilon_1$$

where:

 $F_{KS}$  is the indicator of knowledge spillovers;  $F_{AC}$  is the indicator of absorptive capacities.

# 4. Main Findings

The main findings are presented in the following subsection, we first focus on the analysis of large firms' knowledge spillovers and later we focus on the analysis of SMEs' absorptive capacities.

### 4.1 Large Firms' Knowledge Spillovers

To obtain the indicator of knowledge spillovers we included different variables related to three of the mechanisms of large firms' knowledge spillovers (backward linkages, human capital accumulation and mobility, and training) and identified four main factors related to large firms' knowledge spillovers. Table 4 presents the rotated component matrix with the factorial charges for each one of the variables.

### Backward Linkages

The variables related to linkages with clients are distributed in factors 1, 3 and 4. The variable about length of the relationship is grouped in factor 1, which encloses most of the variables related to more knowledge intensive types of interaction. Thus we can argue that longer time relationships with clients promote a virtual circle type of interaction that can lead to an upgrade in SMEs' technological capabilities. Formal contracts with clients are associated with factor 4, which suggests that more experienced managers tend to establish more formal contracts with their clients, which could lead to a better planning of SMEs' activities.

These results suggest that backward linkages play an important role in stimulating knowledge spillovers in this specific industry and locality.

### Human Capital Accumulation and Mobility

The highest factorial charges for each variable indicate a high correlation with the other variables in the same factor. We can observe from Table 4 that most of the variables considered for the entrepreneurs' mobility mechanism are grouped in factor four (mobility), except for experience in management that is grouped in factor two (managerial). This variable is closely related to the importance of training by larger firms and different types of interactions with clients, such as, recommendations related to the lay-out, technical advice, sharing knowledge

to export, geographic proximity, and other recommendations by clients. This result suggests that owners with experience in management have the ability to establish efficient networks with clients and have a positive influence toward benefiting from knowledge spillovers. Similar results were found by Vera-Cruz and Dutrénit (2005). Thus, accumulation of experience, particularly related to management, plays an important role for knowledge spillovers in the sector and locality analysed.

The variable for employee mobility is grouped in factor 1, together with formal linkages with clients and different forms of interaction that require a certain level of technical expertise, such as calibration of equipment, design and production capacities, incorporation of technology and sharing machinery and equipment. This result suggests that employees with previous experience in large firms facilitate technical interaction with clients and bring positive effects toward establishing formal contracts.

### Training

The variables associated with training have been grouped in factors 2 and 3. The number of employees trained by large firms is grouped in factor 3, which is related to informal relationships with clients, but also to some formal interactions, such as joint projects. The importance of training by large firms is linked to some specific types of interactions with large firms such as technical advice, sharing knowledge to export, and other recommendations. These results suggest that training is an important channel for knowledge spillovers in this specific sector.

# 4.2 SMEs Absorptive Capacities

To obtain the indicator of absorptive capacities we identified the significant variables and obtained five factors related to SMEs' absorptive capacities using the extraction of principal factors technique. Table 5 presents the rotated component matrix with the factorial charges for each one of the variables.

### Entrepreneur and Employees' Background

The high factorial charges for each variable indicate a high correlation with each one of the other variables grouped in the same factor. From Table 5 we can observe how each one of the variables is grouped in the factors. The variables associated with entrepreneurs and employees' background are grouped mainly in factors 1 and 3. Factor 1 is related to employees' technical knowledge and experience. These variables are also correlated with technology embedded in equipment and formal contracts with clients. These results suggest higher

Indicator			Facto	or	
(First order factor)	Variable	Technical (1)	Managerial (2)	Joint projects (3)	Mobility (4)
Ś	Years of experience	033	298	181	.414
eneur llity	Experience in large firms	.065	.041	.141	689
Entrepreneur's mobility	Experience in management	.095	375	.169	224
En	No. of training courses in large firms	.035	.126	.145	.700
es' and	Number of SME's employees trained by large firms	126	.122	.599	.243
Employees' mobility and training	Importance of training by large firms	076	.413	050	028
Em tu	No. of employees with experience in large firms	.577	.104	.353	.297
s with	Years of client-supplier relationship	.220	076	007	066
Formal linkages with clients	Formal contracts	181	228	162	490
Formal	Informal relationships	149	.352	.370	.310
	Calibration of equipment	.585	029	.006	059
	Product certification	.208	.006	.541	225
nts	Sharing design capacities	.506	.460	074	153
clier	Sharing production capacities	.484	.224	.204	257
l with	Supporting the incorporation of technologies	.615	.287	.234	083
olishea	Recommendations related to the lay out	.150	.347	.321	068
estał	Sharing machinery and equipment	.506	024	048	.237
Type of linkages established with clients	Letting SMEs access large firms' plants	.583	.277	.085	.216
f linl	Technical advice	.429	.503	075	.040
pe of	Joint projects		023	.765	049
Tyj	Sharing knowledge to export	.323	.592	.022	.046
	Geographic proximity	.006	.716	.164	.054
	Other recommendations	.079	.492	.247	.065

Table 4: Rotated Component Matrix of Knowledge Spillovers

Source: Authors

Software: SPSS Extraction method: Principal factor analysis. Rotation method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization. Rotation converged in 6 iterations Variance explained 39.4%

employees' technical experience is linked to the use of more sophisticated equipment and to the production of more complex products (Marin and Bell, 2006), which is also linked to the establishment of formal contracts with clients. Factor 3 is associated with firms' structural characteristics, such as firm size and distribution of employees. These variables are also correlated with some learning and innovation activities such as acquisition of machinery and equipment, documentation, training and new marketing programs.

# Technology Embedded in Equipment

All the variables associated with technology embedded in equipment are grouped in factor 1, which are also connected to employees' technological capabilities. This suggests that the equipment acquired by firms is directly related to employees' experience. Hence, we argue that this indicator is important to differentiate SMEs to access other type of market niches.

# Organisational Capabilities

The variables associated with organisational capabilities are distributed mainly along factors 1 and 2. Formal contracts with clients and SMEs have been grouped in factor 1, together with technology embedded in equipment and employees' technical experience, which suggests that firms with higher absorptive capacities related to technical capabilities and technology embedded in equipment establish more formal contracts with clients. The variables that have been grouped in factor 2 are related to the importance of the decision-making process and quality certificates. They are also correlated with knowledge codification and projects with suppliers and clients, where activities are knowledge intensive. These results suggest that organisational capabilities can be important determinants of absorptive capacities.

### Learning and Innovation Activities

Learning and innovation activities are grouped in three main factors. Engagement in projects and process documentation activities are grouped in factor 2. These are associated with more interactive and advanced activities that can lead to virtual circles of knowledge flows between clients and suppliers. These variables are also related to organisational capabilities associated with the decision-making process. The variables grouped in factor 3 are associated with shorter-term innovation activities that can have an immediate impact on SMEs such as acquisition of equipment, process documentation, training and marketing.

	1	r	1	1				
First		Component						
order factor	Variable	Technical capabilities (1)	Organisational capabilities (2)	Firms' characteristics (3)	Linkages (4)	Innovation (5)		
	Entrepreneur's degree	.171	.065	.275	.184	318		
р	No. of employees	.288	.104	.572	.141	045		
groui	No. of engineers	.083	.054	.746	093	242		
back	% of engineers	161	053	.341	085	259		
yees	Employees with experience in CNC	.748	003	.083	076	.009		
emplc	Employees with experience in design	.518	.128	116	.207	187		
Entrepreneur and employees' background	Employees with experience in computer Aided manufacturing (CAM)	.302	087	.157	.765	226		
ntrep	Employees with experience in measurement	.838	.140	.009	045	.092		
Щ	Employees with experience in quality control	.807	.172	.077	104	.194		
	CAM programming	535	.080	343	341	.250		
ology ded in ment	No. NC and CNC equipment	.659	.026	.198	066	.029		
Technology embedded in equipment	Years of NC and CNC equipment	.348	032	.351	.215	183		
- 0	Tolerance for products	.240	.159	155	.129	.143		
	Years in the market	.260	073	.217	114	.173		
ies	Past experience for decision-making processes	010	634	144	002	.290		
abilit	Technical knowledge for decision-making processes	065	.587	.087	002	304		
Organisational capabilities	Formal contracts with clients	358	108	063	064	.016		
satio	Sales per employee	032	.113	398	.088	307		
gani	Quality certification	011	.021	649	197	.201		
Ō	Materials quality certificates	.068	.701	.140	076	.154		
	Time delivery certificates	.216	.655	.244	013	024		
	Projects with suppliers	.208	.595	163	.237	.084		
ties	Projects with clients	.163	.637	044	.226	.036		
ctivi	Process documentation	.107	.638	025	.042	.141		
ation a	Acquisition of machinery and equipment	.254	.214	.435	.014	.105		
nnova	Documentation of changes in process	.364	.295	.430	.054	.170		
Learning and innovation activities	Training programs to develop new products	.304	.306	.622	.081	.252		
rning.	New marketing programs	180	.091	.512	.054	.256		
Lea	Product innovation	.025	.084	009	.068	.738		
	Process innovation	.083	007	.038	.073	.716		

Table 5: Rotated Component Matrix of Absorptive Capacities

First		Component						
order factor	Variable	Technical capabilities (1)	Organisational capabilities (2)	Firms' characteristics (3)	Linkages (4)	Innovation (5)		
cal	Importance of linkages with suppliers	112	.135	.074	.713	.059		
with other local agents	Importance of linkages with clients	056	.264	025	.633	.161		
rith of gents	Importance of linkages with competitors	194	.428	.041	.407	.105		
Linkages w ag	Importance of linkages with technical organisations	012	.028	.030	.631	.076		
Lii	Importance of linkages with industrial associations	.100	024	.007	.705	072		

#### Table 5 (continued)

Source: Authors Software: SPSS

Extraction method: Principal factor analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

Rotation converged in 6 iterations

Variance explained: 45.72

These variables are also linked to the number of employees and engineers in SMEs. Activities related to product and process innovations are grouped in factor 5, which refers to higher/more intense innovation.

#### Linkages with Other Local Agents

The last indicator of absorptive capabilities is grouped in factor 4. Linkages with other local agents require a certain level of absorptive capacities, but this level also increases with higher interaction with other agents as firms can benefit from external knowledge.

# 4.3 Relationship between Knowledge Spillovers and Absorptive Capacities

To identify the relationship between knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities and the specificities of this relationship, first we build a correlation matrix that explains the relationship between the different indicators (see Table 6).

The entrepreneur and employees' background has a direct and important relationship with both the technology embedded in equipment and the innovation and learning activities. On the other hand, innovation and learning activities have a direct relationship with the backward linkages and the SMEs' organisational capabilities. Employees' experience has a high correlation with the type of linkages established with firms.

Secondly we build a structural equation model to identify the most important determinants of absorptive capacities and the most important

	FORMA	TECNO	CAPORG	INNOVA	VINC	EXPERP	EXPERE	VCP	TIPO
FORMA	1.000								
TECNO	0.503	1.000							
CAPORG	0.309	0.084	1.000						
INNOVA	0.502	0.323	0.594	1.000					
VINC	0.084	0.092	0.252	0.365	1.000				
EXPERP	-0.103	-0.246	0.124	0.005	0.116	1.000			
EXPERE	0.065	-0.068	0.386	0.340	0.191	0.067	1.000		
VCP	0.281	0.324	0.366	0.509	0.525	0.066	0.310	1.000	
TIPO	0.322	0.261	0.298	0.565	0.395	-0.098	0.471	0.466	1.000

Table 6: Correlation Matrix of Absorptive Capacities and Knowledge Spillovers

Source: Authors

Survey applied to SMEs machining shops located in Querétaro, México, UAM-X, 2005. Software: LISREL Note:

For absorptive capacities: FORMA – Entrepreneur and employees' background; TECNO – technology embedded in equipment; CAPORG – organisational capabilities; INNOVA – learning and innovation activities; VINC – linkages with other local agents

linkages with other local agents. For knowledge spillovers: EXPERP – entrepreneurs' mobility; EXPERE – employees' mobility and training; VCP – formal linkages with clients; and TIPO – type of linkages established with clients.

mechanisms that generate knowledge spillovers, and also the correlation between knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities. The following relationships are analysed:

- Between absorptive capacities and: i) entrepreneur and employees' background; ii) technology embedded in equipment; iii) organisational capabilities; iv) learning and innovation activities; and v) linkages with other local agents.
- (ii) Between knowledge spillovers and: i) entrepreneurs' mobility; ii) employees' mobility and training; iii) formalisation of linkages with clients; and iv) type of linkages established with clients.
- (iii) Between absorptive capacities and knowledge spillovers.

The indicators of knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities (second order factors) are placed at the right side of the diagram and each one of the different indicators for knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities (first order factors) are placed at the left side of the diagram. The arrows show the relationship between second and first order factors.

The structural equations results indicate the impact of first order factors on second order factors, and the correlation between absorptive capacities and knowledge spillovers. In relation to absorptive capacities, the indicators that have the highest impact are innovation and learning activities, and organisational capabilities, as 91 per cent and 63 per cent of these indicators explain SMEs' absorptive capacities respectively. Entrepreneur and employees' background have a medium impact on absorptive capacities. The indicators that have the lowest impact on absorptive capacities are linkages with other local agents and

technology embedded in equipment, as 42 per cent and 37 per cent of these factors explain SMEs' absorptive capacities respectively.

In relation to large firms' knowledge spillovers, the indicators that have a higher impact are related to the backward linkages mechanism – 76 per cent of the type of linkages with clients and 66 per cent of formal linkages explain large firms' knowledge spillovers. This correlation suggests that the SMEs are strongly influenced by their clients.

Figure 2: Structural Equations Analysis Diagram between SMEs' Absorptive Capacities and Large Firms' Knowledge Spillovers



Chi-Square=144.50, df=26, P-value=0.00000, RMSEA=0.160

#### LISREL

Sample size: 110 observations. Note:

For absorptive capacities: FORMA – Owners and employees' background; TECNO – technology embedded in equipment; CAPORG – organisational capabilities; INNOVA – learning and innovation activities; VINC – linkages established with other local agents. For knowledge spillovers: EXPERP – entrepreneurs' mobility; EXPERE – employees' mobility and training; VCP – formal linkages with clients; and TIPO – type of linkages established with clients.

According to the indexes of goodness fit statistics this model is acceptable. Our sample size was 110, and the indexes CFI, IFI, and GFI are higher than 0.81, RMR and RMSEA indexes are 0.105 and 0.160 respectively.

The factor of employees' mobility explains 52 per cent of large firms' knowledge spillovers, which indicates that previous experience of employees is an important mechanism for knowledge spillovers within the sector and locality analysed. On the other hand and in contrast with the findings by Görg and Greenaway (2001), Fosfuri *et al.* (2001), and Vera-Cruz and Dutrénit (2005),<sup>2</sup> the factor that has the lowest impact and even has a negative value is related to entrepreneurs' mobility. We argue that the variables used to build this indicator do not explain knowledge spillovers through the entrepreneurs' mobility. Different arguments contribute to explaining such a result: i) there is a small percentage of entrepreneurs with professional background in the sector, and the lack of formal education hinders knowledge absorption and application to their own new firms; and ii) as they do not have formal education, they usually do not have access to top management positions in large firms, and they cannot absorb more complex organisational and technological knowledge to transfer it later into their own firms.

Regarding the relationship between absorptive capacities and knowledge spillovers, Table 7 lists the correlation level that was obtained by the structural equations analysis. The correlation between SMEs' absorptive capacities and large firms' knowledge spillovers is 0.82, which indicates a positive and strong relationship between these two concepts within the sector and locality analysed.

Table 7: Correlation of Absorptive Capacities and Knowledge Spillovers

	1 1	0 1
	Absorptive capacities	Knowledge spillovers
Absorptive capacities	1.000	
Knowledge spillovers	0.820	1.000
Knowledge spinovers	(0.054)	1.000

Source: Authors

Number of Iterations = 22 LISREL Estimates (Maximum Likelihood)

As we found a strong correlation between absorptive capacities and knowledge spillovers, our empirical evidence suggests that it is easier for SMEs with higher levels of absorptive capacities to reap the benefits from large firms' knowledge spillovers. SMEs with higher absorptive capacities have a higher number of engineers per firm, which leads to a better task distribution, thus owners can spend more time in activities related to management and planning. These SMEs usually have employees with higher skills in CNC, computer aided manufacturing (CAM), design, measuring, calibration, and quality systems. They also have a higher proportion of advanced equipment, such as NC and CNC, and they use CAM to programme their production, which permits a more efficient use of the machinery and to produce more complex products, which is important for increasing their market shares. We also observed that a higher percentage of firms with higher absorptive capacities have formal contracts with their clients.

#### 5. Conclusions

This paper focuses on the analysis of SMEs' absorptive capacities in a low-tech and mature sector and large firms' knowledge spillovers from the automotive and home appliances sectors operating in a locality. Drawing on the existent literature and exploring the use of *ad hoc* indicators and structural equations, it has been possible to reach a better understanding of the determinants of absorptive capacities, the mechanisms of knowledge spillovers and the relationship between these two concepts in a specific context.

The most important channels that explain knowledge spillovers are related to the backward linkages mechanism. This suggests that there are important knowledge flows that increase SMEs' production capabilities during the interactions, and that SMEs in this sector are strongly influenced by their clients. Hence, to strengthen large firms' knowledge spillovers, it is important to increase the types of interaction between large firms and SMEs and the knowledge that flows during such interactions. This result confirms the findings by Jordaan (2005), as backward linkages are an important mechanism for local firms to use their resources more efficiently to meet their clients' requirements. Backward linkages are also important for upgrading the type of products and the type of interaction and knowledge that flows between SMEs and their clients. Employees' mobility is the second most important mechanism for knowledge spillovers, which confirms the findings by Girma and Görg (2005) and Jordaan (2005). On the other hand and in contrast with the findings by Fosfuri et al. (2001) and Vera-Cruz and Dutrénit (2005), the entrepreneurs' mobility does not represent an important mechanism for knowledge spillovers in the sector and locality analysed. This result can be explained by the characteristics of the local system, the inclusion of large domestic firms rather than only MNCs, and the type of experience that entrepreneurs accumulate, which is mostly related to production and quality control activities, and to a lesser extent, managerial activities.

The most important determinants of SMEs' absorptive capacities are organisational capabilities and innovation and learning activities. The latter is one of the preferred indicators for absorptive capacities, as found by Escribano *et al.* (2009) and Marin and Bell (2006). The former, organisational capabilities, has seldom been considered as an indicator for absorptive capacities. This research suggests that organisational capabilities are strongly related to absorptive capacities in this sector, particularly as they are influenced by entrepreneurs' experience and background. Technology embedded in equipment and linkages with other local agents have a lower impact on SMEs' absorptive

capacities, but still determine firms' absorptive capacities. The result of the former determinant is in line with findings by Escribano *et al.* (2009) and Marin and Bell (2006), but the literature has not discussed the latter.

These results suggest that to increase SMEs' absorptive capacities it is necessary to reinforce their organisational capabilities and innovation and learning activities, by strengthening the owners' managerial abilities and employees' technical abilities. As most of the knowledge within this sector is tacit, firms and industrial associations can design and implement new schemes that promote knowledge sharing within the firm and apprenticeship programs. These activities can have a positive impact on technology that is embodied in equipment, which is closely linked to employees' expertise.

However, it is necessary to pay closer attention to the different variables that determine learning and innovation activities, and organisational capabilities, to foster the development of SMEs with higher absorptive capacities. SMEs with lower levels of absorptive capacities seem to be trapped in a vicious circle, as most of them lack human resources and equipment, or those organisational capabilities necessary for upgrading and accessing other types of market niches that demand more complex products, thus they are usually not sought by clients as potential suppliers.

From this research we identified that important variables associated with learning and innovation activities within this sector are not necessarily related to R&D activities, but with developing projects with clients, training, and acquisition of equipment to produce new products. On the other hand, organisational capabilities in this sector are relevant and are associated with managerial experience and the establishment of systems for quality control. Thus, SMEs have several challenges to build these characteristics to be able to engage in a type of virtual spiral to foster their absorptive capacities. Training owners and employees to acquire technical and organisational abilities needed in the sector seems to be the first step to take. SMEs also have the challenge to engage in supply networks that allow a gradual upgrading of their technological capabilities – these networks can include the participation of public research centres or industrial associations that serve as networking agents. They also have the challenge to certify the quality of their products.

We found that large firms' knowledge spillovers are strongly correlated with SMEs' absorptive capacities within this specific sector and locality. More specifically, we found that the spillover mechanisms of backward linkages and employees' mobility have a strong and direct impact on two absorptive capacity determinants, innovation and learning activities and technology embedded in equipment. Hence, we can argue that those SMEs with higher absorptive capacities appropriate more knowledge spillovers, upgrading their technological and organisational capabilities and accessing other market niches that demand more complex products, and the use of more sophisticated equipment to produce them.

These results have policy implications – programmes to incentivise the establishment of backward linkages between large firms and local SMEs may certainly foster large firms' knowledge spillovers. In terms of policies to foster SMEs' absorptive capacities, it is important to create and strengthen educational programs in community colleges, and promote apprenticeship schemes within both SMEs and large firms. Specific mechanisms to foster the relationship between knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities are related to promoting the establishment of backward linkages between large firms and SMEs created by previous employees of large firms, as they are more likely to have the technical capacities to engage in virtuous circles of production and upgrade the characteristics of the products. In addition, it is possible to stimulate the creation of SMEs that are spin-offs of larger firms and to promote schemes for the acquisition of equipment targeted to those particular SMEs.

The variables considered in this study focus on the analysis of this specific sector and locality, but they might well differ across sectors. Future studies can focus on identifying a set of variables that can fit the analysis of knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities from a variety of sectors and regions to perform comparative analysis. Further analysis should also consider the exploration of more knowledge spillover mechanisms that have been identified by other authors. Another important aspect that was not considered in this paper due to data restrictions is the direction of the correlation between knowledge spillovers and absorptive capacities. The evidence allows us to argue *a priori* that absorptive capacities determine knowledge spillovers, and only SMEs with a minimum level of absorptive capacities can appropriate the benefits of such spillovers. At the same time, the absorption of such knowledge spillovers increases SME absorptive capacities, creating a sort of virtual circle or spiral between absorptive capacities and knowledge spillovers.

# Notes

- \* Corresponding author. We thank Roberto Escorcia and Salvador Zamora for computing and statistics assistance.
- <sup>1</sup> In the hub and spoke productive arrangements, some large firms act as anchors or hubs to the regional economy, with suppliers that spread out around them like spokes of a hub (see Markusen, 1996). In the sector and locality analysed, there are some key large firms and many SMEs have established around them to become their suppliers.
- <sup>2</sup> Vera-Cruz and Dutrénit (2005) analysed the same sector in another Mexican locality. They concluded that owners' mobility from MNCs to SMEs is one of the most important mechanisms for knowledge spillovers.

#### References

- Albaladejo, M. (2001) Determinants and Policies to Foster the Competitiveness of SME Clusters: Evidence from Latin America, QEH Working Paper Series, Working Paper No. 71, Queen Elizabeth House, University of Oxford.
- Alcácer, J. and Chung, W. (2003) Heterogeneity in Knowledge Spillovers: Evidence from Firm Location Decisions, Stern School of Business, New York University.
- Fosfuri, A., Motta, M. and Ronde, T. (2001) Foreign Direct Investment and Spillovers through Workers' Mobility, *Journal of International Economics*, 53(1): 205-222.
- Blalock, G. and Gertler, P.J. (2004) Learning from Exporting Revisited in a Less Developed Setting, *Journal of Development Economics*, 75(2): 397-416.
- Blomström, M. and Kokko, A. (2003) The Economics of Foreign Direct Investment Incentives, Bundesbank Conference, Working Paper 168, Stockholm, Sweden.
- Borensztein, E., de Gregorio, J. and Lee, J-W. (1998) How does foreign direct investment affect economic growth? *Journal of International Economics*, 45(1): 115-135.
- Cabrer-Borrás, B. and Serrano-Domingo, G. (2007) Innovation and R&D Spillover Effects in Spanish Regions: A Spatial Approach, *Research Policy*, 36(9): 1357-1371.
- Castellani, D. and Zanfei, A. (2003) Technology Gaps, Absorptive Capacity and the Impact of Inward Investments on Productivity of European Firms, *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 12(6): 555-576.
- Chudnovsky, D., López, A. and Rossi, G. (2003) Foreign Direct Investment Spillovers and the Absorption Capabilities of Domestic Firms in the Argentine Manufacturing Sector during the 90s, Paper prepared for the 1st Globelics Conference, Rio de Janeiro, 3-6 November.
- Chudnovsky, D., López, A. and Rossi, G. (2008) Foreign Direct Investment Spillovers and the Absorptive Capabilities of Domestic Firms in the Argentine Manufacturing Sector (1992-2001), *Journal of Development Studies*, 44(5): 645-677.
- Chung, W. (2001) Identifying Technology Transfer in Foreign Direct Investment: Influence of Industry Conditions and Investing Firm Motives, *Journal of International Business Studies*, 32(2): 211-229.
- Chung, W., Mitchell, W. and Yeung, B. (2003) Foreign Direct Investment and Host Country Productivity: The American Automotive Component Industry in the 1980s, *Journal of International Business Studies*, 34(2): 199-218.

- Coe, D.T., Helpman, E. and Hoffmaister, A. (2009) International R&D Spillovers and Institutions, *European Economic Review*, 53(7): 723-741.
- Cohen, W. and Levinthal, D. (1999) Absorptive Capacity: A New Perspective on Learning and Innovation, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35(1): 128-152.
- Driffield, N. (2001) The Impact on Domestic Productivity of Inward Investment in the UK, *The Manchester School*, 69(1): 103-119.
- Durham, J.B. (2004) Absorptive Capacity and the Effects of Foreign Direct Investment and Equity Foreign Portfolio Investment on Economic Growth, *European Economic Review*, 48(2): 285-306.
- Dutrénit, G. and Vera-Cruz, A. (2003) Clustering SME with Maquilas in a Local Context: Benefiting from Knowledge Spillover, Paper prepared for the 1st Globelics Conference, Rio de Janeiro, 3-6 November.
- Escribano, A., Fosfuri, A. and Tribo, J. (2005) Managing Knowledge Spillovers: The Impact of Absorptive Capacity on Innovation Performance, Working Paper Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Department of Business Economics.
- Escribano, A., Fosfuri, A. and Tribo, J. (2009) Managing External Knowledge Flows: The Moderating Role of Absorptive Capacity, *Research Policy*, 38(1): 96-105.
- Girma, S. (2003) Absorptive Capacity and Productivity Spillovers from FDI: A Threshold Regression Analysis, European Economy Group Working Paper No. 25.
- Girma, S. and Görg, H. (2005) Foreign Direct Investment, Spillovers and Absorptive Capacity: Evidence from Quantile Regressions, Discussion Paper Series 1: Economic Studies, Deutsche Bundesbank, Research Centre.
- Girma, S., Greenaway, D. and Wakelin, K. (2001) Who Benefits from Foreign Direct Investment in the UK? *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, 48(2): 119-133.
- Girma, S. and Wakelin, K. (2000) Are there Regional Spillovers from FDI in the UK? Draft Paper Prepared for Presentation at the International Economics Association Conference, Nottingham, 7-8 July.
- Giuliani, E. (2003) Cluster Absorptive Capacity: A Comparative Study between Chile and Italy, Paper Prepared for Presentation at the Conference in Honour of Keith Pavitt: What do we Know about Innovation? Freeman Centre, University of Sussex, 13-15 November.
- Giuliani, E. (2005) Cluster Absorptive Capacity: Why do Some Firms Forge Ahead and Others Lag Behind? *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 12(3): 269-288.

- Görg, H. and Greenaway, D. (2001) Foreign Direct Investment and Intraindustry Spillovers: A Review of the Literature, Globalisation and Labour Markets Programme, Nottingham, Leverhulme Centre for Research on Globalisation and Economic Policy, Research Paper No. 2001/37.
- Görg, H. and Hijzen, A. (2004) Multinationals and Productivity Spillovers, Nottingham Centre for Research on Globalisation and Economic Policy, GEP Research Paper 04/41.
- Ivarsson, I. and Göran, C. (2005) Technology Transfer from TNCs to Local Suppliers in Developing Countries: A Study of AB Volvo's Truck and Bus Plants in Brazil, China, India and Mexico, *World Development*, 33(8): 1325-1344.
- Javorcik, B.S. (2004) Does Foreign Direct Investment Increase the Productivity of Domestic Firms? In Search of Spillovers Through Backward Linkages, *American Economic Review*, 94(3): 605-627.
- Jordaan, J.A. (2005) Determinants of FDI-induced Externalities: New Empirical Evidence for Mexican Manufacturing Industries, *World Development*, 33(12): 2103-2118.
- Kafouros, M.I. and Buckley, P.J. (2008) Under What Conditions do Firms Benefit from the Research Efforts of Other Organisations? *Research Policy*, 37(2): 225-239.
- Kim, L. (1997) Imitation to Innovation: The Dynamics of Korea's Technological Learning, Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Kinoshita, Y. (2000) R&D and Technology Spillovers via FDI: Innovation and Absorptive Capacity, William Davidson Institute Working Paper No. 349, University of Michigan.
- Kokko, A., Tansini, R. and Zejan, M. (1996) Local Technological Capability and Productivity Spillovers from FDI in the Uruguayan Manufacturing Sector, *Journal of Development Studies*, 32(4): 602-611.
- Kugler, M. (2006) Spillovers from Foreign Direct Investment: Within or Between Industries? *Journal of Development Economics*, 80(2): 444-477.
- Lall, S. (1980) Vertical Inter-Firm Linkages in LDCs: An Empirical Study, Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 42(3): 203-226.
- Liu, X. and Buck, T. (2007) Innovation Performance and Channels for International Technology Spillovers: Evidence from Chinese High-tech Industries, *Research Policy*, 36(3): 355-366.
- Marin, A. and Bell, M. (2006) Technology Spillovers from Foreign Direct Investment (FDI): The Active Role of MNCs Subsidiaries in Argentina in the 1990s, *Journal of Development Studies*, 42(4): 678-697.

- Markusen, A. (1996) Sticky places in slippery space: A typology of industrial districts, <u>Economic Geography</u>, Vol. 72, No. 2, 294-314.
- Motohashi, K. and Yuan, Y. (2010) Productivity Impact of Technology Spillover from Multinationals to Local Firms: Comparing China's Automobile and Electronics Industries, *Research Policy*, 39(6): 790-798.
- Nelson, A. (2009) Measuring Knowledge Spillovers: What Patents, Licenses and Publications Reveal about Innovation Diffusion, *Research Policy*, 38(6): 994-1005.
- Nonaka, I. and Takeuchi, H. (1996) A Theory of Organisational Knowledge Creation, *International Journal of Technology Management*, 11(7-8): 833-846.
- O'Mahony, M. and Vecchi, M. (2009) R&D, Knowledge Spillovers and Company Productivity Performance, *Research Policy*, 38(1): 35-44.
- Rasiah, R. (1994) Flexible Production Systems and Local Machine Tool Subcontracting: Electronics Component Transnationals in Malaysia, *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 18(3): 279-298.
- Rasiah, R. (2002) Systemic Coordination and the Knowledge Economy: Human Capital Development in Malaysia's MNC-driven Electronics Clusters, *Transnational Corporations*, 11(3): 89-129.
- Rasiah, R. (2007) Clusters and Regional Industrial Synergies: The Electronics Industry in Penang and Jalisco, in Scott, A.J. and Garofoli, G. (eds), Development on the Ground: Clusters, Networks and Regions in Emerging Economies, London: Routledge, pp. 223-250.
- Rasiah, R. (2008) Ownership, institutions and technological intensities: Automotive and electronic component firms in East Asia, in Eatwell, J. and Arestis, P. (eds), Evidence-based Economics: Essays in Honour of Ajit Singh, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 137-166.
- Sjöholm, F. (1999) Technology Gap, Competition and Spillovers from Direct Foreign Investment: Evidence from Establishment Data, *Journal of Development Studies*, 36(1): 53-73.
- Vera-Cruz, A. and Dutrénit, G. (2005) Spillovers from MNCs through Worker Mobility and Technological and Managerial Capabilities of SMEs in Mexico, Innovation, Management, *Policy and Practice*, 7(2): 274-297